	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/185,908	BLASCHUK ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Maher M. Haddad	1644
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Maher M. Haddad</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Jeffrey Hundley</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 4 February 2004	Time: <u>1:00PM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER And light a great to consol, plains 27, 23 and 26, 27 and the char		
Applicant agreed to cancel claims 27-32 and 36-37 and the changes set forth in the Examiner's Amendment to put the case in condition for allowance.		
Part III.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.	examiner will provide a writte	en summary of the substance
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
Mahn Haddael	Applicant's Representative Si	onature – if appropriate\
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	vibblicant a representative of	grada i appropriate)